



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,944	01/19/2000	Ronald J. Shannon	CV0283a	3760
7	590 05/23/2003			
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company			EXAMINER	
100 Headquarte Skillman, NJ			ALVAREZ, RAQUEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\overline{C}$	/
$\mathcal{A}$	

2 11		× ×
	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/487,944	SHANNON, RONALD J.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raquel Alvarez	3622
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears n the cover sheet	with the c rresp ndence address
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of th r: (1) a timely filed amendm ppeal (with appeal fee); or (	is application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) o	r b)]
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pe fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration da (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date price later than SIX MONTHS from WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS at the date on which the petition unried of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period office later than three months a	n the mailing date of the final rejection.  THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  Index 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension onding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ion in better form for appea	l by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without car NOTE:	nceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because		een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed \$	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim	· , ,—	,
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)[	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)( PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		C 0 - C

De Grand for as

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claim merely recite a device for presenting the visual decision for classifying the wound, such as sliding cards. Since, the combination of Brill and Solutions teach computerized I decisions techniques used for presenting and classifying wounds. Manual devices are old and well known and were used prior to the computer era. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included mechanical devices and sliding cards because such a modification would allow non-computer users to benefit from the use of the system

Continuation of 10. Other: The previous advisory action had a typo error and it was therefore vacated. .